✓ Preserve

App. F. IX-4

Previous References 1934-5 (Miscl

File No. 119-34

Serial Nos. 1-2

Later References.

Misc 1934-35-321

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 — 2

Part B for December 1934

SUBJECT.

Causeway across the North Pinakini near Vidurashwatha Gollidurga Taluk

To the Mess Comm Chief Engr
" Comptroller Dy Comm Kolar Dist.

S/2

WD 01965—GPB—15,000—7-7-34 875-8 & 17-12-34

Sanctions the estimate for Rs 4920/-

R

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mens)

NOTES.

File No.

FILE HEADING.

Construction of a causeway across North Pinnati near Vedaranyam, Tanjore District.

FROM

The Hungai Commissioner in ...

No. 5.18/34-35 Dated 13.11.1934 Received 19.11.34

Diary No. 5371	Enclos.	Spare copies	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

States that an estimate was sent forward vide ... for Rs 4420 excluding tools and plant ... and that the Board have ... the Collector requests sanction for meeting the ... from the Funds in the Treasury ...

NOTES AND ORDERS.

4 For the construction of a causeway near the Temple at Vedaranyam, an estimate is stated to have been prepared in the P.W.D. for Rs 4420 and the same sent by the D.C. Kolar to the President of Board Kolar for sanction. The A.E. is to have gone over the estimate and X reduced it to 1/3 of the cost and hence ...

NOTES—First Sheet

WD 02552—GPB—5,000—10-7-30

balance be met from Municipal Funds

2. The Dharmakartas of the Temple have a opinion that the proposed causeway is more beneficial to the general public in their line to the temple in as much as it connects Bangalore Hindupur Road to Madugiri Road and many interior parts of Madhugiri and Hindupur taluks. On their general they definitely stated that there was no necessity for undertaking the "causeway" in the immediate future from Temple Funds. However they were willing to pay 1/3 of the total cost of the Scheme (Vide Resolution dated 15.2.1934), the other 1/3 being suggested to be met by Govt

3. The D.C Kolar stated that the "causeway" lies on a Govt Road under the Control of the Govt Board Kolar and that no Contribution can be made from Govt Funds for the purpose. The Dharmakartas who were asked to reconsider their previous Resolution, have Resolved on 22.7.1936 that 1/3 of the cost may be met from Temple Funds.

The M.C has recommended favourably on this proposal. But in view of the fact that Govt have already withdrawn

Serial No.

of the Notes supra, no good case seems to have been made for meeting 2/3 of the total cost of the scheme from Temple Funds. It is the Dt Board Kolar that should bear at least the major portion of the cost (2/3), and not the Temple. The fact that the Temple has sufficient funds at its credit is no ground as to why it should meet the larger portion of the expenditure, when as a matter of that, it may have more to meet, as under Section 45(1)(a) of the Dt Board Regulation the Kolar Dt Board has to undertake such a construction at its own cost.

S Subra for order

Please speak to me
2/3/11

The Construction of the Causeway appears to be a legitimate work of the Dt Bd Kolar and there is no reason why the temple Bd contribute to this. As explained in para 2 of the Mice note supra the Dharmadarsi definitely refused to pay more than 1/3 of the cost being of opinion that there was no necessity to undertake the work from temple funds. It is to meet subsequent contention dt 22.7.34 that they have resolved to

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 01955—GPB—22,500—12-6 34

Causeway etc

File No. of 1934. General and Secretariat

Contribute 2/3 of the cost. ~~Rec~~ It may not be fair wrong to presume that the latter decision was influenced by some pressure from outside. Since the cost has to be borne by the Dt Bd & the temple conf & must be just, it seems only fair that the Dt Bd & the temple Shd bear the same equally. Why the temple Shd bear 2/3 of the cost while the benefit to accrue to the Dt Bd is by no means clear.

28/11/34

8 The State limits are reached (in Lastly the Ch: Engr) temple premises and I think the temple Stands to gain more by this causeway than the Dt Bd. The proposal ought to be app[d].

28/11/34.

11 Nov

25/11

The work may be sanctd. I Sd have thought that as it was more equitable to divide the cost equally as the road leads to the interna parts of the TK also. As however the Dharma darsis have agreed the propsd distn may also be appd

5/12

7/12

7/12

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
28 NOV. 1934

General and Revenue Secretariat | Army File No. | of 193

Serial No.	
	10/ A draft G.O. Secrtg is put up 2nd 4/10/12
	3/12/12 Expy
	3-7-5
2	

File No. of 193 .

General and Reven
Secretariat

om UZRAI COMMIS YSORE,

5 *Bangalore,..12th..November.....193 4*

13



N. MADHAVA RAU, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Rajasevasakta
R. Ranga Rau, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,

.....Bangalore..... *Department*

Construction of a causeway across North Pinakini near Viduraswatha, Goribidnur Taluk.

In forwarding herewith the file of papers received with Kolar District Deputy Commissioner's letter No.AS-C.1016/33-34 dated 25-10-34 (copy enclosed) on the above subject, I have the honor to state that an estimate has been prepared in the P.W.D. for Rs.4920/- excluding the establishment, tools and plant charges.

The District Board have agreed to contribute one-third of the estimated cost. The Deputy Commissioner recommends that the remaining two-thirds of the amount may be met from the funds of the Sri Aswatha Narayanaswami temple at Viduraswatha which is agreed to by the Dharmadarsis.

For Muzrai Commissioner

File No.

3. I request the sanction of Government to the De Commissioner's proposal.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Rural Commissioner.

r No.A9-C.1016/33-34 dated 25-10-34 from the Deputy Commissioner, District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Construction of a causeway across North Pinakini near Viduraswatha, Goribidnur Taluk.

In enclosing ~~herewith~~ herein the entire file of correspondence on the above subject, ending with Chickballapur Sub Division Officer's Memo No.C.I P.R.43/34-35 dated 21st September 1934, I have the honor to state as follows:

Viduraswatha is one of the important places of pilgrimage in Southern India and a good causeway across North Pinakini River which flows by its side is a long felt want. An estimate for the same is got prepared by the Public Works Department for a sum of Rs 4920/- and is enclosed in the records. The District Board, Kolar, in their meeting held on 22nd September 1934 have resolved to contribute 1/3rd of the cost and the remaining 2/3rds is proposed to be met from the temple funds of Sri Viduraswatha Narayanaswami. The Dharmadarsis have also agreed to pay the above contribution from out of the temple funds (vide copy of their resolution dated 22nd July 1934 in the file). As there are sufficient funds at the credit of the institution, I request that necessary sanction may kindly be accorded for meeting the 2/3rd cost of the estimate from the temple funds.

"C O P Y"

Remain

For Muzrai Commissioner.

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Section Muz

File No. 119-34

Serial No. 2

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 17-12-1934

Signed By Mr B Muddukrishnappa

Read— Letter No 5718/34-38 dated 13.11.1934 from the M.E in Mysore stating that an estimate for Rs 4920 has been prepared in the P.W.D for Rs 4920 excluding estt, tools and plant charges, for the construction of a Causeway Order thereon—No across the North pinakini near Vidhanasoudha, Gowribidanur taluk, that the Dt Board Kolar, have agreed to bear Contribute one-third of the estimated cost and requesting sanction to the proposal of the D.C. Kolar

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

for ~~Hindu~~ the Jain
two-thirds of the cost from
the funds of the Lal Ashoka
Narasimhaswami Temple
at Vijayawada which
is agreed to by the Dharma
Committee of the Temple

875-8 Enam to file D.M.C

The recommendation of the
M.C is Sanctioned

[illegible]
10/1

for Chief Engineer
10/1

The D.C with records
" Chief Engineer
" Comptroller
" D.C Kolar

Copy to L.S.G. Branch for information

List of Enclosure

copy sent on 17/12/34

Copied by

Examined by

WD 73—GBPM—20,000—10-8-31.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE (...)

THE MAHARAJA'S [illegible]MYSORE.

Read:-

Letter No. 518/34-35 dated 13th November 1934 from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore stating that an estimate has been ~~proferred~~ prepared in the Public Works Department for Rs. 4920/- excluding establishment, tools and plant charges, for the construction of a causeway across the North Pinakini near Vidurasvatha, Goribidnur Taluk, that the District Board Kolar, have agreed to contribute one-third of the estimated cost and requesting sanction to the proposal of the Deputy Commissioner Kolar District to meet the remaining two-thirds of the cost from the funds of the Sri -- Ashathanarayanaswami Temple at Vidurasvatha which is agreed to by the Dharmadarsis of the institution.

ORDER No. 875-8/Misc 119-34-2

Dated Bangalore the 12th December 1934.
17th

The recommendation of the Muzrai Commissioner is sanctioned.

By Order,

For Chief Secretary to Govt.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore with records.

The Chief Engineer of Mysore.

The Comptroller to Government

The Deputy Commissioner Kolar District.

Cons. 6618 DD 12/34